

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,160	11/29/2001	Sumio Kuroda	1100.66020	3617
7590 11/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H	
300 South Wacl	ker Dr., Suite 2500		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0606		1722	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Autieur Court	09/997,160	KURODA, SUMIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
71	Donald Heckenberg	1722
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 C</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	n from consideration. r election requirement. er. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

- 1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR
 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed
 in this application after final rejection. Since this
 application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR
 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely
 paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been
 withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions
 filed on July 30, 2004 and October 1, 2004 have been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 2, 2003.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narumi et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,031,800).

Narumi discloses a known optical disk substrate (see fig. 27). The disk comprises a glass layer (2301) and an address information pattern formed on the surface of the glass layer (cl. 1, ll. 16-19). The information pattern is formed having concave and convex shapes (see fig. 27).

Claims 17-19 recite many limitations directed at how the claimed substrate is produced using a carbon mold. determination of patentability of a claimed product is determined by the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985); <u>In re Brown</u>, 459 F.2d 531, 535, 173 USPQ 685, 688 (CCPA 1972); In re Pilkington, 411 F.2d 1345, 1348, 162 USPQ 145, 147 (CCPA 1969); MPEP § 2113; see also In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292-93 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (holding once the examiner provides a rationale tending to show the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and a prior art product). instant case, Narumi discloses, regardless of its method of production, a substrate with all of features of the claimed

Art Unit: 1722

product substrate. Narumi therefore anticipates the claimed substrate regardless of the recited process of manufacture.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-19 in view of the previous rejection are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection described above. It is noted however, that Applicant's arguments with respect to the previous rejection seem to focus a difference between how the prior art substrate and how the claimed substrate is made. It is emphasized, as set forth above, that the patentability of a claimed product (in this case, a substrate) is determined by the product itself, not its method of production. Thus, as set forth above, the Narumi reference anticipates the claimed substrate product regardless of the recited use of a carbon mold for producing the claimed substrate product.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be

reached at (571) 272-1137. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Heckenberg

A.U. 1722